



Fesia Grasp – Scientific Brochure

The use of FES technology in neurorehabilitation

Functional electrical stimulation (FES) artificially stimulates motor nerves to elicit muscle contractions and thus, restore motor function.

It has been used for rehabilitation purposes for more than 50 years (*Offner, et al., 1965*), showing extensive benefits such as avoidance of muscle disuse atrophy, maintenance of ranges of motion, increase of local blood flow, and even therapeutic effects in terms of regaining of motor functions (*Bosques, et al., 2016; Hara, 2013; Howlett, et al., 2015; Patil, et al., 2015*).

Neural repair:

Studies have shown that FES stimulates the central nervous system, achieving improvements in different neurophysiological parameters:

- Increase in mean-absolute, root-mean-square and improved the surface electromyography power during maximum voluntary contractions. *Sabut, et al., 2010.*
- It strengthens voluntary pathways and changes some reflexes toward control values. *Thomson et al., 2009.*
- Activation of motor cortical areas and their residual descending connections. *Everaert, et al.,* 2013.
- Interlimb cutaneous inputs may access coordinated reflex pathways. Zehr, et al., 2012.
- It reverses axonal dysfunction. *Lee, et al., 2015.*
- Change in reflex size to various degree. *Thomson, et al., 2015.*
- Cortical tract excitability increase. *Thomson, et al., 2015.*

FES as an evidence-based resource for upper limb rehabilitation

General information:

- Upper limb therapy with FES has shown positive results in many parameters, improving people's quality of life.
- > 40 clinical trials.
- Improvements have been seen in biomechanical, functional, and neurophysiological parameters.

Effects

- Improvement of motor function (differences up to 27,2 points in Fulg-Meyer Assessment) and use of the paretic arm. *Carda, et al., 2017.*
- Activities of daily living (measured with the Barthel Index). *Nakipoglu Yuzer, et al., 2017.*
- Functionality (differences up to 48% in the Box and Block test). *Marquez-Chin, et al., 2017.*





- Range of motion in flexion and extension movements of wrist and fingers. *Yildizgören, et al.,* 2014.
- 56% decrease in wrist and finger flexor muscles spasticity. *Ring, et al., 2005.*
- Joint pain reduction. *Malhotra, et al., 2013.*

FES: a technology combinable with multiple therapies

FES therapy has been extensively studied, also in combination with other therapies:

- Mirror therapy.
- Botulinum toxin.
- Action observation + brain computer interface.
- Task-oriented therapy.
- Bilateral arm training.
- Virtual reality.

Clinical practice guidelines

The rehabilitation of the upper limb with FES is supported by prestigious international scientific societies, showing optimal levels of evidence:

• *"FES targeted at the wrist and forearm muscles should be considered to reduce motor impairment and improve function"* [Evidence Level: Early-Level A; Late-Level A].



• *"There is strong evidence that FES treatment improves upper extremity function"* [Evidence Level: 1a].



Fesia Grasp: the latest technology for hand function rehabilitation based on scientific evidence

Fesia Grasp has a very strong scientific background. 24 works have been published about Fesia Grasp. Clinical research is the predominant one, with a total of 18 publications and 54 persons included in the studies.

Our findings

Studies carried out in Belgrade (Serbia) with stroke persons showed that:

- Multi-field electrodes provide the desired level of selectivity and can be used for generating a functional grasp both in the clinical and home environments. *Malešević, et al., 2012.*
- Combining the performance of multi-field electrodes (increased selectivity and facilitated positioning) with surface-distributed low-frequency asynchronous stimulation (decreased fatigue), as **Fesia** Grasp does, improves FES applications. *Maneski, et al., 2013.*





- The use of multi-field electrodes resulted in fully functional and reproducible palmar and lateral grasps similar to healthy-like grasps. *Popović-Maneski, et al., 2013.*

A doctoral thesis developed by Dr. Imatz-Ojanguren with stroke persons in Pamplona (Spain) showed that:

- Asynchronous stimulation (used in **Fesia** Grasp) resulted in lower perceived deep discomfort than synchronous stimulation and affected its efficacy. *Imatz-Ojanguren, et al., 2013.*

A clinical study carried out in Mondragon (Spain) with persons with acquired brain injury in Mondragon (Spain) showed that:

- The multi-field electrode of Fesia Grasp allows to generate a wide range of movements of the hand. This fact allows to generate more physiological movement patterns during the rehabilitation process with FES, which could have a beneficial effect on the recovery of the persons with neurological diseases. Furthermore, the high repeatability in the generated movements could bring benefits in terms of usability. *Martín-Odriozola, et al., 2021.*

Use cases

- PL. a 69-year-old female person suffered an ischemic stroke a year ago, which produced severe hemiplegia, with serious impairment of the hand function. After 10 1-hour sessions of treatment with Fesia Grasp, PL. can move her fingers selectively, has increased her grasping strength by 21% and is beginning to use her upper limb in daily living activities, such as drinking from a glass. Fesia Clinic, San Sebastian, Spain.

Ongoing

- A clinical trial with 20 persons with stroke in Córdoba (Spain).
- A clinical trial with 30 persons with spinal cord injury in Toledo (Spain).